



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/000,320 12/04/2001		12/04/2001	Tracy J. Kimbrel	00280686AA 9757		
30743	7590	01/13/2005		EXAMINER		
WHITHAN 11491 SUN	•	IS & CHRISTOFF	BOUTAH, ALINA A			
SUITE 340	SEI NILL	3 KOAD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
RESTON, V	/A 20190)	2143			

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			0,320	KIMBREL ET AL.				
			ner	Art Unit	<u> </u>			
		Alina N	Boutah	2143				
	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on	the cover sheet with th	e correspondence ad	idress			
THE MA - Extensic after SIX - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure t Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIONS of time may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this committed for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 wind for reply is specified above, the maximum storeply within the set or extended period for reply y received by the Office later than three months apparent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. 0) days, a reply within the satutory period will apply an- will, by statute, cause the	event, however, may a reply be statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timel om the mailing date of this c NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
2a)☐ Ti 3)☐ S	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 December 2001</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	n of Claims							
4a 5)□ C 6)□ C 7)□ C	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application	n Papers							
10)∐ Th Ap Re	ne specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are: oplicant may not request that any objected to be oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or ction to the drawing(s the correction is req	s) be held in abeyance. Suired if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 Cl	• •			
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
) If References Cited (PTO-892) If Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)	4)					
3) 🔲 Informat	or Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (Ption Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or o(s)/Mail Date			al Patent Application (PTC	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/000,320

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following invention is required under 35 U.S.C 121:
 - A. Claims 1-14 are drawn to a method of resource allocation to yield a benefit, classified in class 709, subclass 226.
 - B. Claim 15 is drawn to a method for server allocation in a web server farm, classified in class 709, subclass 203.
- 2. Inventions A and B are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention A has separate utility such as a method of resource allocation to yield a benefit, classified in a different Class/Subclass. Invention B has separate utility such as a method for server allocation in a web server farm, classified in a different Class/Subclass. See MPEP 806.05(d).
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- (a) these inventions have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their difference classifications.
- (b) the search required for each Group is different and not co-extensive for examination purposes.

Page 2

Art Unit: 2143

For example, the searches for the two inventions would not be the co-extensive because these Groups would require different searches on PTO's classification class and subclass as following:

The Group A search (claims 1-14) would require use of search class 709, subclass 226 (not required for the invention B).

The Group B search (claim 15) would require use of search class 709, subclass 203 (not required for the invention A).

For the reasons above restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17 (h).

Art Unit: 2143

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alina N Boutah whose telephone number is 571-272-3908. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (9:00 am - 7:00 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A Wiley can be reached on 571-272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ANR

DAVID WILEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100